





Gravitational Waves and LIGO

- Gravitational waves
- Detection of GW's
- The LIGO project and its sister projects
- Astrophysical sources
- LIGO search for GWs
- Conclusions



"Colliding Black Holes"
National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)




Alan Weinstein, Caltech

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004

The nature of Gravity


Newton's Theory

“instantaneous action at a distance”



$$F = \cancel{m_1} a = G \cancel{m_1} m_2 / r^2$$

AJW, CERN, Ju



$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

Einstein's General Theory of Relativity

*Gravity is a local property of the space occupied by mass m_1 .
Information carried by gravitational radiation at the speed of light*





Gravitational Waves

Static gravitational fields are described in General Relativity as a curvature or warpage of space-time, changing the distance between space-time events.

Shortest straight-line path of a nearby test-mass is a ~Keplerian orbit.

If the source is moving (at speeds close to c), eg, because it's orbiting a companion, the "news" of the changing gravitational field propagates outward as gravitational radiation – a wave of spacetime curvature





Einstein's Theory of Gravitation


experimental tests



bending of light

As it passes in the vicinity of massive objects

First observed during the solar eclipse of 1919 by Sir Arthur Eddington, when the Sun was silhouetted against the Hyades star cluster



Mercury's orbit

perihelion shifts forward twice Post-Newton theory

Mercury's elliptical path around the Sun shifts slightly with each orbit such that its closest point to the Sun (or "perihelion") shifts forward with each pass.



"Einstein Cross"

The bending of light rays gravitational lensing


Quasar image appears around the central glow formed by nearby galaxy. Such gravitational lensing images are used to detect a 'dark matter' body as the central object



Strong-field



- Most tests of GR focus on small deviations from Newtonian dynamics (post-Newtonian weak-field approximation)
- Space-time curvature is a *tiny* effect everywhere except:
 - The universe in the early moments of the big bang
 - Near/in the horizon of black holes
- This is where GR gets *non-linear* and interesting!
- We aren't very close to any black holes (fortunately!), and can't see them with light



But we can search for (*weak-field*) gravitational waves as a signal of their presence and dynamics



Nature of Gravitational Radiation

General Relativity predicts that rapidly changing gravitational fields produce ripples of curvature in the fabric of spacetime

- transverse space-time distortions, freely propagating at speed of light

- **mass of graviton = 0**



- Stretches and squeezes space between “test masses” – strain $h = \Delta L / L$

- GW are tensor fields (EM: vector fields)
two polarizations: plus (\oplus) and cross (\otimes)
(EM: two polarizations, \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y})


Spin of graviton = 2

- Conservation laws:

- cons of energy \Rightarrow no monopole radiation
- cons of momentum \Rightarrow no dipole radiation
- lowest multipole is quadrupole wave (spin 2)



Contrast with EM dipole radiation:






Sources of GWs

- Accelerating charge \Rightarrow electromagnetic radiation (dipole)
- Accelerating mass \Rightarrow gravitational radiation (quadrupole)
- Amplitude of the gravitational wave (dimensional analysis):

$$h_{mm} = \frac{2G}{c^4 r} \ddot{I}_{mm} \Rightarrow h \approx \frac{4p^2 G M R^2 f_{orb}^2}{c^4 r}$$

- \ddot{I}_{mm} = second derivative of mass quadrupole moment (non-spherical part of kinetic energy – tumbling dumb-bell)
- G is a small number!
- Need huge mass, relativistic velocities, nearby.
- For a binary neutron star pair, 10m light-years away, solar masses moving at 15% of speed of light:



Terrestrial sources *TOO WEAK!*



Contrast EM and GW information

E&M	GW
space as medium for field	Space-time itself
incoherent superpositions of atoms, molecules	coherent motions of huge masses (or energy)
wavelength small compared to sources - images	wavelength ~large compared to sources - poor spatial resolution
absorbed, scattered, dispersed by matter	very small interaction; no shielding
10^6 Hz and up	10^3 Hz and down
measure amplitude (radio) or intensity (light)	measure amplitude
detectors have small solid angle acceptance	detectors have large solid angle acceptance

- Very different information, mostly mutually exclusive
- Difficult to predict GW sources based on E&M observations
- GW astronomy is a totally new and unique window on the universe

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Observing the Galaxy with Different Electromagnetic Wavelengths


http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/image/SagSumMW_dp_big.gif

$\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$




http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/image/xallsky_rosat_big.gif

$\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$




http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/image/comptel_allsky_1to3_big.gif

$\lambda = 6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}$




<http://www.gsfc.nasa.gov/astro/cobe>

$\lambda = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$



<http://coss.gsfc.nasa.gov/coss/egret/>

$\lambda = 1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}$








<http://rsd-www.nrl.navy.mil/7213/lazio/GC/>

$\lambda = 9 \times 10^{-1} \text{ m}$

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



What will we see?



A NEW WINDOW
ON THE UNIVERSE
WILL OPEN UP
FOR EXPLORATION.
BE THERE!



Gravitational wave detectors

- **Bar detectors**


- Invented and pursued by Joe Weber in the 60's
- Essentially, a large "bell", set ringing (at ~ 900 Hz) by GW
- Only discuss briefly, here – See EXPLORER at CERN!

- **Michelson interferometers**

- At least 4 independent discovery of method:
- Pirani '56, Gerstenshtein and Pustovoit, Weber, Weiss '72
- Pioneering work by Weber and Robert Forward, in 60's
- Now: large, earth-based detectors. Soon: space-based (LISA).

Resonant bar detectors

- AURIGA bar near Padova, Italy
(typical of some ~5 around the world –
Maryland, LSU, Rome, CERN, UWA)
- 2.3 tons of Aluminum, 3m long;
- Cooled to 0.1K with dilution fridge
in LiHe cryostat
- $Q = 4 \times 10^6$ at $< 1\text{K}$
- Fundamental resonant mode at
~900 Hz; narrow bandwidth
- Ultra-low-noise capacitive
transducer and electronics
(SQUID)





Resonant Bar detectors around the world

International Gravitational Event Collaboration (IGEC)



detector	ALLEGRO	AURIGA	EXPLORER	NAUTILUS	NIOBE
Mode frequencies [Hz]	895, 920	912, 930	905, 921	908, 924	694, 713
Bar mass M [kg]	2296	2230	2270	2260	1500
Bar length L [m]	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.75
Bar temperature [K]	4.2	0.2	2.6	0.1	5.0
Longitude	$91^{\circ}10'44''W$	$11^{\circ}56'54''E$	$6^{\circ}12'E$	$12^{\circ}40'21''E$	$115^{\circ}49'E$
Latitude	$30^{\circ}27'45''N$	$45^{\circ}21'12''N$	$46^{\circ}27'N$	$41^{\circ}49'26''N$	$31^{\circ}56'S$
Azimuth	$40^{\circ}W$	$44^{\circ}E$	$39^{\circ}E$	$44^{\circ}E$	0°

**Baton Rouge,
LA USA**

**Legarno,
Italy**

**CERN,
Suisse**

**Frascati,
Italy**

**Perth,
Australia**


AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004




Interferometric detection of GWs

GW acts on freely falling masses:

For fixed ability to measure DL , make L as big as possible!




$$h = \frac{\Delta L}{L}$$




$$P_{out} = P_{in} \sin^2(2k\Delta L)$$

Antenna pattern:
(not very directional!)





LIGO – the first Km- class GW detector



$$\Delta L = h L \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}$$


$\lesssim 10^{-21}$ 4 km

ASW, CERN, July 14, 2004



International network

Simultaneously detect signal (within msec)



■ detection confidence

■ locate the sources

■ verify light speed propagation


■ decompose the polarization of gravitational waves

■ Open up a new field of astrophysics!

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



LIGO, VIRGO, GEO, TAMA ...





AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004




Event Localization With An Array of GW Interferometers


Global Distribution of Major Interferometer Sites




LIGO Transient Event Localization




LIGO+VIRGO Transient Event Localization



LIGO+VIRGO+GEO Transient Event Localization



LIGO+VIRGO+GEO+TAMA Transient Event Localization







AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



The Laser Interferometer Space Antenna LISA

Three spacecraft in orbit
about the sun,
with 5 million km baseline

The center of the triangle formation
will be in the ecliptic plane
1 AU from the Sun and 20 degrees
behind the Earth.




LISA (NASA/JPL, ESA) may fly in the next 10 years!

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Sensitivity bandwidth

- EM waves are studied over ~20 orders of magnitude
 - » (ULF radio \rightarrow HE γ rays)
- Gravitational Waves over ~10 orders of magnitude
 - » (terrestrial + space)





LIGO Observatories




4 km (H1)
+ 2 km (H2)

Hanford (LHO) :
two interferometers in same
vacuum envelope



Both sites are relatively
seismically quiet,
low human noise




Livingston (LLO):
one interferometer

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004





Interferometer Concept

- Laser used to measure relative lengths of two orthogonal arms
- Arms in LIGO are 4km
- Measure *difference in length to one part in 10^{21} or 10^{-18} meters*






Interferometer Noise Limits





Commissioning and the First Science Runs




AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Sensitivity in 3 Science Runs

Best Strain Sensivities for the LIGO Interferometers

Comparisons among S1, S2, S3 LIGO-G030548-00-E





LIGO schedule

1995	NSF funding secured (\$360M)
1996	Construction Underway (mostly civil)
1997	Facility Construction (vacuum system)
1998	Interferometer Construction (complete facilities)
1999	Construction Complete (interferometers in vacuum)
2000	Detector Installation (commissioning subsystems)
2001	Commission Interferometers (first coincidences)
2002	Sensitivity studies (initiate LIGO I Science Run)
→ 2003+	LIGO I data run (one year integrated data at $h \sim 10^{-21}$)
2007...	Begin Advanced LIGO upgrade installation
2008...	Begin Advanced LIGO observations...



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Improvement of reach with Advanced LIGO

Improve amplitude sensitivity by a factor of 10x, and...

⇒ Number of sources goes up 1000x!




AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Astrophysical Sources of Gravitational Waves


- Compact binary systems

- » Black holes and neutron stars
- » Inspiral → merger → ringdown
- » Probe internal structure, nuclear eqn of state of NS crust, populations, and spacetime geometry



- Spinning neutron stars

- » known & unknown pulsars
- » LMXBs
- » Probe internal structure and populations




- Neutron star birth

- » Supernova core collapse
- » Instabilities: tumbling, convection
- » Correlations with EM observations



- Stochastic background

- » Big bang & other early universe
- » Background of GW bursts





Analog from cosmic
microwave
background --
WMAP 2003




GWs from coalescing compact binaries (NS/NS, BH/BH, NS/BH)

Compact binary mergers




- Neutron star – neutron star (Centrella et al.)



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004

Binary Orbit Evolution



- A binary system in a close orbit
has a time-varying quadrupole moment
→ emits gravitational waves

$$f_{\text{GW}} = 2f_{\text{orbit}}$$

Gravitational waves carry away energy
and angular momentum

$$dE/dt \propto -f^{10/3}$$

→ Frequency increases, orbit shrinks


$$df/dt \propto f^{11/3} \quad dr/dt \propto -f^2$$

Objects spiral in until they finally coalesce

Additional relativistic effects kick in as (Gm/rc^2) grows away from zero




Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar



- A rapidly spinning pulsar (neutron star beaming EM radiation at us 17 x / sec)
- orbiting around an ordinary star with 8 hour period
- Only 7 kpc away
- discovered in 1975, orbital parameters measured
- continuously measured over 25 years!

Neutron Binary System

PSR 1913 + 16 -- Timing of pulsars






GWs from Hulse-Taylor binary

emission of gravitational waves by compact binary system


- Only 7 kpc away
- period speeds up 14 sec from 1975-94
- measured to ~50 msec accuracy
- deviation grows quadratically with time
- Merger in about 300M years
 - (\ll age of universe!)
- shortening of period \ddot{U} orbital energy loss
- Compact system:
 - negligible loss from friction, material flow
- beautiful agreement with GR prediction
- Apparently, loss is due to GWs!
- Nobel Prize, 1993



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Chirp signal from Binary Inspiral



determine

- distance from the earth r
- masses of the two bodies
- orbital eccentricity e and orbital inclination ι
- *Over-constrained parameters: TEST GR*



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



The sound of a chirp

BH-BH collision, no noise

The sound of a BH-BH collision,
Fourier transformed over 5 one-second intervals
(red, blue, magenta, green, purple)
along with expected IFO noise (black)




AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



Astrophysical sources: Thorne diagrams



Sensitivity of LIGO to coalescing binaries



LIGO I (2002-2005)

LIGO II (2007-)

Advanced LIGO



Estimated detection rates for compact binary inspiral events

Brief Summary of Detection Capabilities of Mature LIGO Interferometers


- **Inspiral of NS/NS, NS/BH and BH/BH Binaries:** The table below [15] shows estimated rates \mathcal{R}_{gal} in our galaxy (with masses $\sim 1.4M_{\odot}$ for NS and $\sim 10M_{\odot}$ for BH), the distances \mathcal{D}_{I} and \mathcal{D}_{WB} to which initial IFOs and mature WB IFOs can detect them, and corresponding estimates of detection rates \mathcal{R}_{I} and \mathcal{R}_{WB} ; Secs. 1.1 and 1.2.

	NS/NS	NS/BH	BH/BH in field	BH/BH in globulars
$\mathcal{R}_{\text{gal}}, \text{yr}^{-1}$	$10^{-6}-10^{-4}$	$\lesssim 10^{-7}-10^{-4}$	$\lesssim 10^{-7}-10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}-10^{-5}$
\mathcal{D}_{I}	20 Mpc	43 Mpc	100	100
LIGO I $\mathcal{R}_{\text{I}}, \text{yr}^{-1}$	$1 \times 10^{-4} - 0.03$	$\lesssim 1 \times 10^{-4} - 0.3$	$\lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3} - 0.5$	$0.03 - 0.5$
\mathcal{D}_{WB}	300 Mpc	650 Mpc	$z = 0.4$	$z = 0.4$
LIGO II $\mathcal{R}_{\text{WB}}, \text{yr}^{-1}$	$0.5 - 100$	$\lesssim 0.5 - 1000$	$\lesssim 10 - 2000$	$100 - 2000$

V. Kalogera (population synthesis)

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004

Supernova collapse sequence




- Within about 0.1 second, the core collapses and gravitational waves are emitted.
- After about 0.5 second, the collapsing envelope interacts with the outward shock. Neutrinos are emitted.
- Within 2 hours, the envelope of the star is explosively ejected. When the photons reach the surface of the star, it brightens by a factor of 100 million.
- Over a period of months, the expanding remnant emits X-rays, visible light and radio waves in a decreasing fashion.



Gravitational Waves from Supernova collapse


Non axisymmetric collapse



Rate

1/50 yr - our galaxy
3/yr - Virgo cluster

'burst' signal




AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004




Zwenger-Müller SN waveforms

- astrophysically-motivated waveforms, computed from simulations of axi-symmetric SN core collapses.
- Almost all waveforms have duration < 0.2 sec
- A “menagerie”, revealing only crude systematic regularities. Inappropriate for matched filtering or other model-dependent approaches.
 - » Their main utility is to provide a set of signals that one could use to compare the efficacy of different filtering techniques.
- Absolute normalization/distance scale.




14, 2004




Pulsars and continuous wave sources

Sensitivity of LIGO to continuous wave sources




Pulsars in our galaxy

- » non axisymmetric: $10^{-4} < \epsilon < 10^{-6}$
- » science: neutron star precession; interiors
- » “R-mode” instabilities
- » narrow band searches best






Gravitational waves from Big Bang






LIGO GWs probe the universe at earliest times after the Big Bang



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



LIGO limits and expectations on Ω_{GW}



S1 result: $W_{\text{GW}} < 23$

S2 prelim: $W_{\text{GW}} < 0.02$

S3 expect: $W_{\text{GW}} < \sim 10^{-3}$

LIGO design, 1 year:

$W_{\text{GW}} < \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$

Advanced LIGO, 1 year:

$W_{\text{GW}} < \sim 10^{-9}$


Challenge is to
identify and
eliminate noise
correlations between
H1 and H2!

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004



LIGO Frequency-Time Characteristics of GW Sources

- Bursts are short duration, broadband events
- Chirps explore the greatest time-frequency area
- BH Ringdowns expected to be associated with chirps
- CW sources have FM characteristics which depend on position on the sky (and source parameters)
- Stochastic background is stationary and broadband
- For each source, the optimal signal to noise ratio is obtained by integrating signal along the trajectory
 - If $SNR \gg 1$, kernel $\propto |signal|^2$
 - If $SNR \leq 1$, kernel $\propto |template * signal|$ or $|signal_j * signal_k|$
- Optimal filter: kernel $\propto 1/(\text{noise power})$



AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004





Ultimate Goals for the Observation of GWs

- **Tests of Relativity**
 - Wave propagation speed (delays in arrival time of bursts)
 - Spin character of the radiation field (polarization of radiation from CW sources)
 - Detailed tests of GR in P-P-N approximation (chirp waveforms)
 - Black holes & strong-field gravity (merger, ringdown of excited BH)
- **Gravitational Wave Astronomy** (observation, populations, properties):
 - Compact binary inspirals
 - Gravitational waves and gamma ray burst associations
 - Black hole formation
 - Supernovae in our galaxy
 - Newly formed neutron stars - spin down in the first year
 - Pulsars and rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - LMXBs
 - Stochastic background



Einstein's Symphony



- Space-time of the universe is (presumably!) filled with vibrations: Einstein's Symphony
- LIGO will soon 'listen' for Einstein's Symphony with gravitational waves, permitting
 - » Basic tests of General Relativity
 - » A new field of astronomy and astrophysics
- A new window on the universe!

AJW, CERN, July 14, 2004